

INTERPRETIVE MEMO

Reach Ahead Rule Interpretation

Procedural Instruction

This memo remains effective statewide until it is specifically superseded – either by a subsequent memo or by a contradictory rule with a later date.

Please file in your manual facing the page indicated below.

Facing page 2502 **Effective date of this memo** 4/1/2016 **Page** 1 of 1

This memo: **is new** **Replaces one dated** _____

Question: If a Reach Ahead participant is meeting the work requirement, and we receive information that the participant has a job(s) in addition to the employment that has already been reported, should the participant's Reach Ahead benefits close for non-cooperation if they do not return verification of the other job(s)?

Answer: No. A Reach Ahead participant who is meeting the work requirement should not be terminated from Reach Ahead for failing to return verification of additional jobs. If Reach Ahead is the only program in which the participant is receiving benefits, a 218E does not need to be sent to the participant.

4/1/16